arXiv:1410.6746v1 [math.RA] 24 Oct 2014

QUASI-EUCLIDEAN SUBRINGS OF $\mathbb{Q}[x]$

PETR GLIVICKÝ AND JAN ŠAROCH

Abstract. Using a nonstandard model of Peano arithmetic, we show that there are quasi-Euclidean subrings of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ which are not k-stage Euclidean for any norm and positive integer k. These subrings can be either PID or non-UFD, depending on the choice of parameters in our construction. In both cases, there are 2^ω such domains up to ring isomorphism.

Although Euclidean and principal ideal domains have been intensively studied for almost a century, examples of non-Euclidean PIDs are still rather scattered throughout the literature, and thought of as more or less singular, non-frequent objects. The oldest of these examples are arguably the rings of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ for $d = -19, -43, -67, -163$. However, these are the only cases for negative d's, and the results from [12] and [7] indicate that it is almost surely the case of positive values of d, too.

Another type of examples was given by Samuel in his famous paper [11]. Leutbecher (in [8]) capitalized on his approach several years later, and proved that there are non-Euclidean PIDs which are even quasi-Euclidean (this was not the case of the four rings of integers mentioned above, as Cohn observed in [4]).

Throughout this paper, by a *quasi-Euclidean domain*, we mean a commutative domain R for which there is a function $\phi : R^2 \to \omega$ such that, for all $(a, b) \in R^2$ with $b \neq 0$, there exists $q \in R$ with $\phi(b, a - bq) < \phi(a, b)$. The definition is similar to the one of classical Euclidean norm, with the important difference that by the norm function here, we do not measure elements of the ring but pairs of those. Also, unlike in the case of Euclidean domains, ω can be equivalently replaced by some/any infinite ordinal in the definition; see Preliminaries section (in particular Proposition 1.1) for this and further equivalent definitions of quasi-Euclidean domain, and related concepts.

There are a few more published results on non-Euclidean PIDs. Unfortunately, they do not usually present a coherent class of these domains, or some sort of characterization of rings which are non-Euclidean PIDs in some distinguished class of domains. Nice attempts in this direction can be found in [1] and [6].

In this paper, we present a parametric construction which is in some sense a generalization of the approach used in [6]. While studying certain models of Peano arithmetic, we noticed that there are many discretely ordered non-Euclidean (even non-k-stage Euclidean in the sense of Cooke [5]) subrings of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ which are quasi-Euclidean. In fact, for each $\tau \in \prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{J}_p$, where $\mathbb{J}_p$ denotes the ring of p-adic integers, we define one such subring. Moreover, we observe that the set $\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{J}_p$ splits into two parts of full cardinalities, depending on whether the resulting ring

Date: October 27, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F07 (primary), 13F10, 13F20, 03H15 (secondary).
Key words and phrases. k-stage division chain, quasi-Euclidean domain, PID.
First author supported by the grant GAUK 4372/2011.
Second author supported by the grant EČC 301-29/248001.

is PID or non-UFD. Since each quasi-Euclidean ring is Bézout (Proposition 1.1), there are no inbetween cases, i.e. non-PID and UFD at the same time.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Josef Mlček and Jan Trlifaj for reading parts of this text and giving several valuable comments.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all rings are (commutative integral) domains. Further, we denote by $\mathbb{P}$ the set of all primes in $\mathbb{N}$. For each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $\mathbb{J}_p$ stands for the ring of p-adic integers, while $\mathbb{Z}_p$ denotes the field $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathbb{J}_p \cong \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$, we shall view $\mathbb{J}_p$ as a subring of $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$, and denote, for a positive integer k, by π_k the canonical projection from $\mathbb{J}_p$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$. It will not cause any confusion that the notation π_k does not reflect the prime p. Moreover, for technical reasons, we put $\pi_0 : \mathbb{J}_p \to \{0\}$; again, regardless of the prime p.

If we deal with elements from the ring $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, we define $\deg 0 = -1$, and we denote by $\mathrm{lc}(q)$ the leading coefficient of a polynomial q.

1.1. **Quasi-Euclidean and k-stage Euclidean domains.** Various generalizations of the concept of a Euclidean domain were proposed and studied in the past. The one we find very natural, is the concept of quasi-Euclidean (used in [8] and [3]) or the equivalent notion of ω-stage Euclidean domain (used by Cooke in [5]).

Given a ring R and a partial order $\leq$ on R^2, we say that $\leq$ is *quasi-Euclidean* if it has the descending chain condition (dcc), and for any pair $(a, b) \in R^2$ with $b \neq 0$, there exists an element q in R such that $(b, a - bq) < (a, b)$. We call R *quasi-Euclidean* provided there exists a quasi-Euclidean partial order on R^2.

Let $(a, b) \in R^2$ and k be a non-negative integer. A *k-stage division chain* starting from the pair (a, b) is a sequence of equations in R

$$\begin{aligned} a &= q_1 b + r_1 \\ b &= q_2 r_1 + r_2 \\ r_1 &= q_3 r_2 + r_3 \\ &\vdots \\ r_{k-2} &= q_k r_{k-1} + r_k. \end{aligned}$$

Such a division chain is called *terminating* if the last remainder r_k is 0 (r_{k-1} is then easily seen to be the GCD of a and b). Notice that a k-stage division chain is determined by its starting pair and the sequence of quotients $q_1, \ldots, q_k$. For the sake of compactness, in what follows, we shall denote this chain also by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & q_1 & \ldots & q_k \\ b & r_1 & \ldots & r_k \end{array} \right).$$

Given such a division chain, we define its 0-th remainder r_0 as b.

In the following proposition, On denotes the class of all ordinal numbers.

Proposition 1.1. ([3], [5], [8]) *For a commutative domain R, the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *There exists a function $\phi : R^2 \to On$ (with $Rng(\phi) \subseteq \omega$) such that, for all $(a, b) \in R^2$ with $b \neq 0$, there exists $q \in R$ such that $\phi(b, a - bq) < \phi(a, b)$.*
2. *R is quasi-Euclidean.*
3. *R is a Bézout domain, and the group $\mathrm{GL}_2(R)$ of regular 2×2 matrices over R is generated by matrices of elementary transformations.*
4. *Every pair $(a, b) \in R^2$ with $b \neq 0$ has a terminating k-stage division chain for some positive integer k.*

Proof. (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2) is trivial, we just put $(a,b) < (a',b')$ if $\phi(a,b) < \phi(a',b')$.

(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (4) follows directly by the dcc.

The equivalence of (3) and (4) was proved already in [9, 14.3].

(4) $\Longrightarrow$ (1): We put $\phi(a,0) = 0$ for all $a \in R$. If $b \neq 0$, we define $\phi(a,b)$ as the minimal $k \in \omega$ for which the pair (a,b) has a terminating k-stage division chain. (So we even manage to find ϕ with the range in ω.) □

Notice that no notion of a norm is involved in the definition of a quasi-Euclidean domain. However, given a norm N on R (i.e. a function $N : R \to \mathbb{N}$ with $N(a) = 0$ iff $a = 0$), we can measure how far N is from being Euclidean: as in [5], for $0 < k \leq \omega$, we say that R is a *k-stage Euclidean domain with respect to N* provided that, for every $(a,b) \in R^2$ with $b \neq 0$, there exists a positive integer $l \leq k$ such that for some l-stage division chain starting from (a,b) it is $N(r_l) < N(b)$. As usual, we say that R is *k-stage Euclidean* if there exists such a norm N on R. So, in our notation, 1-stage Euclidean means Euclidean (in the classic sense). On the other hand, by Proposition 1.1, R is ω-stage Euclidean (with respect to some/any norm) if and only if it is quasi-Euclidean.

Finally, observe that a quasi-Euclidean domain, being Bézout, is UFD if and only if it is PID. An example of non-UFD 2-stage Euclidean domain was given already by Cooke in [5], at the end of §1. It is at this place, where he admits that he does not know of any example of quasi-Euclidean domain which is not 2-stage Euclidean. Interestingly, all examples, we are going to construct, have got this property.

1.2. **Peano arithmetic and weak saturation.** Although our construction will be purely algebraic, we are going to give also a description derived from a nonstandard model of Peano arithmetic (PA). There are several reasons to do this: the description is very natural, only basic logical tools are needed, and it sheds more light at the entire situation.

Our models of PA are thought of as models in the language $L = (0, 1, +, \cdot, \leq)$. The fact that it is an extension of the language of rings will make it more convenient for us to work with. In particular, we can immediately say that any model of PA is a (discretely ordered) commutative semiring with 0 and 1.

We will say that $\mathcal{M} \models \mathrm{PA}$ is *weakly saturated* if every 1-type in $\mathcal{M}$ without parameters is realized in $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. given any set $Y = \{\varphi_i(x) \mid i \in I\}$ of L-formulas with one free variable x, there is $m \in M$ such that $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi_i[m]$ for all $i \in I$, provided that, for each finite subset S of I, one has $\mathcal{M} \models (\exists x) \bigwedge_{i \in S} \varphi_i(x)$. Indeed, weakly saturated models of PA exist, we can even take an appropriate elementary extension of $\mathbb{N}$, however, as we shall see, for such a model $\mathcal{M}$, necessarily $|M| \geq 2^\omega$.

2. Examples

2.1. **Logical description.** Let us fix a weakly saturated model $\mathcal{M}$. Then, as mentioned above, $\mathcal{M}$ forms a commutative semiring. Formally adding negative elements, we turn $\mathcal{M}$ into a commutative domain containing $\mathbb{Z}$ as a subring. We will denote this domain $\mathcal{M}^\pm$. Notice that $\mathcal{M}^\pm$ shares several basic properties with $\mathbb{Z}$, namely it is a discretely ordered GCD domain; also for every q, r with $r \neq 0$, there exists $0 \leq t < |r|$ such that r divides $q + t$ (where $|_|$ is the usual absolute value). However, unlike $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{M}^\pm$ is not Noetherian.

Let a be a nonstandard element of $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. $a \in M \setminus \mathbb{N}$. We define a subring R_a of $\mathcal{M}^\pm$ in the following way:

$$R_a = \{m \in M^\pm \mid (\exists n \in \mathbb{N})(\exists h \in \mathbb{Z}[x])\, n \neq 0 \;\&\; n \cdot m = h(a)\}.$$

It is easily seen that R_a is a ring. It can be naturally approached if we, in the first step, take a subring of $\mathcal{M}^\pm$ generated by a (which is nothing else than

$\mathbb{Z}[a] \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]$), and then allow division by nonzero integers in case it is possible in $\mathcal{M}^{\pm}$. We immediately observe that R_a is isomorphic to

$$R'_a = \left\{ \frac{h}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \;\middle|\; n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \text{ and } n \,|\, h(a) \text{ in } \mathcal{M}^{\pm} \right\}.$$

Remark.

(1) Regardless of a, we have $R'_a \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$.
(2) Notice that $R_a = R_{a+1}$ (for any nonstandard $a \in M$) but $R'_a \neq R'_{a+1}$ since precisely one of these two rings contains $x/2$. On the other hand, as we shall see later, it is possible that we have nonstandard $a, b \in M$ such that $R_a \neq R_b$ but $R'_a = R'_b$.
(3) For our considerations, we do not need the full strength of PA. In fact, instead of binary multiplication, it is enough to have an endomorphism $a\cdot$ of the monoid $(M, +, 0)$ such that $a \cdot 1 \notin \mathbb{N}$, and the induction for all formulas in the language $(0, 1, +, a\cdot, \leq)$; so the resulting theory can be viewed as an extension of Presburger arithmetic rather than weakening of PA. In fact, Theorem 3.2 was obtained as a part of the first author's proof of model-completeness of this theory.

2.2. **Algebraic description.** As we have seen above, the definitions of R_a and R'_a rely on the fixed model $\mathcal{M}$ of PA. However, there is only a little amount of information about $a \in M$ that we actually need. This makes it possible—as we are going to demonstrate—to manage without refering to any Peano model. For $\tau \in \prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{J}_p$, we define a subring R_τ of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

$$R_\tau = \left\{ \frac{h}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \;\middle|\; n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \text{ and } (\forall p \in \mathbb{P})\, \pi_{\mathrm{v}_p(n)}(h(\tau_p)) = 0 \right\}.$$

Here, v_p denotes the usual p-valuation. Further, τ_p is the pth projection of τ, and the substitution $h(\tau_p)$ is done inside $\mathbb{J}_p$ where $\mathbb{Z}$ is canonically embedded via $z \mapsto (z \bmod p, z \bmod p^2, z \bmod p^3, \dots)$. We will use this substitution several times in the next section.

It follows easily from the definition that $\sigma \neq \tau$ implies $R_\sigma \neq R_\tau$. The correspondence between the rings R'_a and R_τ is made precise by Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a weakly saturated model of PA. Then:*

(1) *For each nonstandard $a \in M$ there exists precisely one $\tau \in \prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{J}_p$ such that $R'_a = R_\tau$.*
(2) *For each $\tau \in \prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{J}_p$ there is at least one nonstandard $a \in M$ such that $R'_a = R_\tau$.*

Proof. (1) There is even a ring homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{M}^{\pm} \to \prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{J}_p$ which assigns to $m \in M^{\pm}$ an element τ such that $\tau_p = (m \bmod p, m \bmod p^2, m \bmod p^3, \dots)$ for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$. It is a matter of straightforward verification that $R'_a = R_{\psi(a)}$ for any nonstandard $a \in M$.

(2) Let us consider the set Y consisting of all congruences $x \equiv_{p^k} \tau_p(k)$ and inequalities $x > k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Then Y is a 1-type in $\mathcal{M}$ (without parameters—positive integers are just constant terms in the language L) since any finite subset of Y has a solution in $\mathbb{N} \subset M$ by Chinese Remainder Theorem. So there is a global solution, $a \in M$, of all congruences and inequalities from Y, using the weak saturation of $\mathcal{M}$. (Now, it is clear that $|M| \geq 2^\omega$.) The inequalities assure that a is nonstandard, and checking the definitions, we immediately see that $R'_a = R_\tau$. □

In the following section, we will freely use the fact (implicitly proved above) that, for every τ, the ring R_τ inherits the discrete ordering from $\mathcal{M}^\pm$ via isomorphism with R_a for some/any a.

3. Properties of the examples

3.1. **Terminating division chains.** We are going to show that, for every τ, the ring R_τ is quasi-Euclidean. So let τ be fixed for a while, put $R = R_\tau$, and let us denote by R^+ the subsemiring of R consisting of polynomials with nonnegative leading coefficients. First, we prove the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $q, r \in R^+$ with $r \neq 0$, then there are (unique) $p, s \in R^+$ such that $q = pr + s$ and $s < r$.*

Moreover: Let $\tilde{p}, \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be such that $q = \tilde{p}r + \tilde{s}$ and $\deg \tilde{s} < \deg r$. Further let $\tilde{p} = p'/m$ where $p' \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $0 \leq k < m$ such that $(p' - k)/m \in R^+$. Then the pair (p, s) satisfies

$$(p, s) = \begin{cases} (\tilde{p} - 1, \tilde{s} + r) & \text{for } k = 0 \ \&\ \mathrm{lc}(\tilde{s}) < 0, \\ \left(\frac{p'-k}{m}, \tilde{s} + \frac{k}{m} r\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Straightforward verification. □

If we look at R_a (for a with $R'_a = R$), there is only one pair (p, s) in the model $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying the properties from Lemma 3.1, namely the pair $(q \text{ div } r, q \bmod r)$. Here, div stands for the binary operation of integer division. Thus in particular, we have that R^+ as a subsemiring of $\mathcal{M}$ is closed under binary operations div and mod.

Consequently, we say that a division chain $\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} r_{-1} & q_1 & \dots & q_n \\ r_0 & r_1 & \dots & r_n \end{array}\right)$ in R^+ with $r_{-1}, r_0 > 0$ is *quasi-Euclidean* if $q_{i+1} = r_{i-1} \text{ div } r_i$ and $r_{i+1} = r_{i-1} \bmod r_i$, for $i \geq 0$. A consequence of the proof of the following theorem is that, for any nonzero $a, b \in R^+$, there exists a positive integer n such that the quasi-Euclidean chain of length n starting from the pair (a, b) is terminating.

Theorem 3.2. *R is a quasi-Euclidean domain. In particular, it is Bézout.*

Proof. We will show that the condition (1) from Proposition 1.1 is satisfied. For this sake, we define $\phi : R^2 \to (2 \times \mathbb{N}^4, lex)$ by the formula $\phi(q, r) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ for $r = 0$, and

$$\phi(q, r) = (\delta_{q,r}, \deg q + 1, \deg r, n_{q,r}, n_{q,r} \cdot |\mathrm{lc}(q)|)$$

otherwise. Here, $\delta_{q,r}$ is 1 if $|q| \leq |r|$, and 0 otherwise; $n_{q,r} \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the least common denominator of q, r. In the rest of the proof, we assume that $q > r > 0$. The other cases follow easily. (Notice that $\phi(q, r) = \phi(|q|, |r|)$.)

Since $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is a Euclidean ring with the norm $\deg(-) + 1$, there are $\tilde{p}, \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $q = \tilde{p}r + \tilde{s}$ and $\deg \tilde{s} < \deg r$. By Lemma 3.1, we get $p, s \in R^+$ satisfying $s < r$ and $q = pr + s$.

Suppose $s \neq 0$. We need to show that $\phi(r, s) < \phi(q, r)$ in the lexicographic order of $2 \times \mathbb{N}^4$. Since $0 < s < r$, we have $\delta_{r,s} = 0 = \delta_{q,r}$. We may assume $\deg q = \deg r = \deg s$ (otherwise, we are done immediately). Then $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, we have $q, r \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{n_{q,r}}$, and hence $s = q - pr \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{n_{q,r}}$. Therefore $n_{r,s} \leq n_{q,r}$. Moreover, from $r < q$, we have $\mathrm{lc}(r) \leq \mathrm{lc}(q)$.

Assume $n_{r,s} = n_{q,r}$ and $\mathrm{lc}(r) = \mathrm{lc}(q)$. Then, from the definition of $\tilde{p}$, we have $\tilde{p} = 1$, and thus $p' = 1 = m$, $k = 0$ in Lemma 3.1. The first case in the definition of (p, s) leads to a contradiction, since we get $p = 0$ (and so $q = s < r$). So it must be that $p = \tilde{p} = 1$ and $s = \tilde{s}$. In particular, we see that $\deg s = \deg \tilde{s} < \deg r$ which also contradicts one of our assumptions.

Finally, R is Bézout by Proposition 1.1. □

3.2. **Separating the PID cases.** In the following few paragraphs, we distinguish the choices of τ which imply that R_τ is a PID. We also show that there are 2^ω pairwise nonisomorphic domains among the rings R_τ which are PID, and the same cardinality of those which are not PID. The next lemma will be useful.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\tau \in \prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{J}_p$. Then R_τ is a PID if and only if, for each nonzero $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, the set $S_h = \{(p,k) \in \mathbb{P} \times (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}) \mid \pi_k(h(\tau_p)) = 0\}$ is finite.*

Proof. Assume that S_h is infinite for some nonzero $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then either the set $\{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid h/p \in R_\tau\}$ is infinite, or there exists a prime p such that $h/p^k \in R_\tau$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In the first case, we fix an enumeration $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots\}$ of that set, and—using the definition of R_τ—we see that $(h/p_1, h/(p_1p_2), h/(p_1p_2p_3), \dots)$ is an infinite descending (with respect to divisibility) sequence of elements in R_τ; thus R_τ is not a UFD. In the second case, we use the same argument for the sequence $(h/p, h/p^2, h/p^3, \dots)$.

If R_τ is not a PID, then (since it is Bézout by Theorem 3.2) there has to be an infinite sequence of elements in R_τ descending in divisibility $(h_1/n_1, h_2/n_2, \dots)$; here $h_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and n_i are positive integers coprime with h_i in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, for all $i > 0$. The polynomials h_i will eventually have the same degree ($\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is Euclidean) and absolute value of the leading coefficient ($\mathbb{Z}$ is Noetherian), and so we may w.l.o.g. assume that all the polynomials h_i are equal to a single nonzero $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. It directly follows that, for this h, the set S_h is infinite. □

Let us take a representative subset J of $\prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{J}_p$ in the sense that, for each ρ, there is a $\tau \in J$ such that $R_\tau \cong R_\rho$, and for all $\tau, \sigma \in J$, $\tau \neq \sigma$, we have $R_\tau \ncong R_\sigma$. Then J is a disjoint union of the sets A and B, where $A = \{\tau \in J \mid R_\tau \text{ is a PID}\}$ and $B = \{\tau \in J \mid R_\tau \text{ is not a UFD}\}$.

Proposition 3.4. $|A| = |B| = 2^\omega$.

Proof. Let us assume that $|A| < 2^\omega$. For each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, we define $\tau_p \in \mathbb{J}_p$ in such a way that:

(1) $\pi_1(\tau_p) = \lfloor \log p \rfloor$,
(2) $n \cdot \tau_p \notin \{h(\sigma_p) \mid \sigma \in A \,\&\, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$, for every positive integer n,
(3) τ_p is not a root in $\mathbb{J}_p$ of a nonzero polynomial from $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

This is clearly possible since the first two conditions are satisfied by 2^ω different elements of $\mathbb{J}_p$. Let $\tau = \prod_{p\in\mathbb{P}} \tau_p$. We claim that R_τ is a PID which leads immediately to a contradiction (by (2), there cannot be $\sigma \in A$ with $R_\tau \cong R_\sigma$).

To prove this, we use Lemma 3.3. Let us fix a nonzero $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then, using the limit comparison of h and log, we deduce that, for all sufficiently large primes p, we have $0 < |h(\lfloor \log p \rfloor)| < p$ which further implies $\pi_1(h(\tau_p)) \neq 0$. Together with the condition (3), we get that S_h is finite. This finishes the proof that $|A| = 2^\omega$.

To see that $|B| = 2^\omega$, it is enough to fix a $\sigma \in A$, and for each nonzero subset P of $\mathbb{P}$ define $\tau^P \in B$ by setting $\tau^P_p = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$ for $p \in P$, and $\tau^P_p = \sigma_p$ otherwise. □

3.3. **Keeping distance from Euclidean domains.** Here, we prove that no R_τ is a k-stage Euclidean domain, whatever positive integer k we take. From now on, we work in a fixed ring R_τ. We start with two slightly technical lemmas [1].

[1] Lemma 3.5 is a modified version of a classical result on continued fractions by Perron (see [10]).

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $Q = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & q_1 & \dots & q_k \\ b & r_1 & \dots & r_k \end{array}\right)$ *be a division chain starting from* (a,b) *with* $a,b,k>0$. *There is a division chain* $Q' = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & q'_1 & \dots & q'_l \\ b & r'_1 & \dots & r'_l \end{array}\right)$ *with* $q'_i > 0$ *for* $i>1$ *such that* $|r_k| = |r'_l|$ *and* $l \le 2k-1$.

Proof. Denote T_1, T_2 the following two transformations on the set of all division chains starting from (a,b):

$$T_1 : \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & q_1 & \dots & q_k \\ b & r_1 & \dots & r_k \end{array}\right) \mapsto$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|ccccccc} a & q_1 & \dots & q_{i-1} & q_i - 1 & 1 & -(q_{i+1}+1) & -q_{i+2} & \dots & -q_k \\ b & r_1 & \dots & r_{i-1} & r_i + r_{i-1} & -r_i & (-1)^2 r_{i+1} & (-1)^3 r_{i+2} & \dots & \pm r_k \end{array}\right)$$

where i is the first index such that $q_{i+1} < 0$ (T_1 is identity if there is no such i) and $\pm$ stands for $(-1)^{k-i+1}$;

$$T_2 : \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & q_1 & \dots & q_k \\ b & r_1 & \dots & r_k \end{array}\right) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c|ccccccc} a & q_1 & \dots & q_{i-1} & q_i + q_{i+2} & q_{i+3} & \dots & q_k \\ b & r_1 & \dots & r_{i-1} & r_{i+2} & r_{i+3} & \dots & r_k \end{array}\right)$$

where i is the first index such that $q_{i+1} = 0$ (T_2 is identity if there is no such i).

We will show a little bit more than stated—instead of $l \le 2k-1$, we prove even that $l \le k+n$ where $n = \max\{k-i+1; i>1 \ \&\ q_i < 0\}$ ($n=0$ if there is no such i). Put $Q = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & q_1 & \dots & q_k \\ b & r_1 & \dots & r_k \end{array}\right)$ and denote the corresponding pair (n,k) as $p_Q = (n_Q, k_Q)$. We prove the statement by induction on the pairs (n_Q, k_Q) with lexicographic ordering. The case $p_Q = (0,1)$ is trivial.

If there is i such that $q_{i+1} = 0$, we get $p_{T_2(Q)} \le_{lex} (n_Q, k_Q - 2)$, and the induction assumption gives some Q'. It is easy to verify that this Q' meets all the requirements. (Note that in the case $i+1 = k$ we get $T_2(Q) = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & q_1 & \dots & q_{i-1} \\ b & r_1 & \dots & r_{i-1} \end{array}\right)$ and $r_{i-1} = r_{i+1}$.)

Otherwise we have $q_i \neq 0$ whenever $i > 1$, and using T_1 we get $p_{T_1(Q)} \le_{lex} (n_Q - 1, k_Q + 1)$. Again, the Q' given by the induction assumption is what we wanted. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & q_1 & \dots & q_k \\ b & r_1 & \dots & r_k \end{array}\right)$ *be a division chain starting from* (a,b) *such that* $a,b,q_i > 0$ *for* $i>1$, *and let* $\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & e_1 & \dots & e_m \\ b & f_1 & \dots & 0 \end{array}\right)$ *be the quasi-Euclidean division chain in* R_τ *starting from* (a,b). *Assume* $m \ge k$.

Then $|r_k| \ge f_{k+1}$, *and in particular* $\deg(r_k) \ge \deg(f_{k+1})$ *(we put* $f_{k+1} = 0$ *if* $m = k$*).*

Proof. Take the least l such that $q_l \neq e_l$ (if there is no such, we are done since (f_i) is decreasing). By an inductive argument, it is easy to observe that the following holds (recall that we put $f_0 = r_0 = b$):

$$\text{If } q_l < e_l \text{ then } \begin{cases} r_{l+2i} \ge r_{l-1} \text{ for } i \ge 0, \\ r_{l+2i+1} \le -r_{l-1} \text{ for } i \ge 1, \\ r_{l+1} \le -r_{l-1} \text{ or } r_{l+1} = -f_l; \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and if } q_l > e_l \text{ then } \begin{cases} r_{l+2i} < -r_{l-1} \text{ for } i \ge 1, \\ r_{l+2i+1} > r_{l-1} \text{ for } i \ge 0, \\ r_l \le -r_{l-1} \text{ or } (m > k \ \&\ r_l \le -f_{l+1}). \end{cases}$$

The statement follows since $r_{l-1} = f_{l-1}$ and (f_i) is decreasing. □

Combining both lemmas together, we obtain the following corollary which gives us a bound on the speed of decrease of remainders in a division chain, compared to the quasi-Euclidean one. By letting a, b be any two consecutive Fibonacci numbers, one can see that the bound is optimal.

Corollary 3.7. *Given $a, b > 0$, let $\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & e_1 & \dots & e_n \\ b & f_1 & \dots & 0 \end{array}\right)$ be the quasi-Euclidean division chain starting from (a, b), and $\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & q_1 & \dots & q_k \\ b & r_1 & \dots & r_k \end{array}\right)$ be an arbitrary division chain. Then, for $l \leq \min(k, n/2)$, we have $|r_l| \geq f_{2l}$.*

Now, we have all the tools for proving that no R_τ is k-stage Euclidean domain, independently of the choice of $k > 0$. For the sake of better readability, we state the key step of the proof as a separate lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Let k be a positive integer and $0 < b \in R_\tau$ such that $\deg(b) \geq 1$. Then there is $0 < a \in R_\tau$ such that every division chain $\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & q_1 & \dots & q_l \\ b & r_1 & \dots & r_l \end{array}\right)$ of length $l \leq k$ starting from (a, b) satisfies $\deg(r_l) \geq \deg(b)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.7, it is enough to prove the statement for the quasi-Euclidean division chain instead of an arbitrary one.

Set $a = \frac{c}{d}(b - \beta)$ where $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that no division chain in $\mathbb{Z}$ of length $l \leq k$ starting from (c, d) is terminating (such c, d exist since Corollary 3.7 holds also in $\mathbb{Z}$) and $0 \leq \beta < d$ is such that $d|(b - \beta)$ in R_τ.

For a contradiction, let the quasi-Euclidean division chain

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a & e_1 & \dots & e_l \\ b & f_1 & \dots & f_l \end{array}\right)$$

starting from (a, b) satisfy $\deg(f_l) < \deg(b)$. We may w.l.o.g. assume $\deg(f_{l-1}) = \deg(b)$; then we have $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

Define the operation $\hat{}: R_\tau \to \mathbb{Q}$ as $\hat{r} = \mathrm{lc}(dr)/\mathrm{lc}(b)$. Easily $\hat{a}, \hat{b} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and therefore also $\hat{f}_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all $i \neq l$. Hence,

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} \hat{a} & e_1 & \dots & e_{l-1} & e_l \\ \hat{b} & \hat{f}_1 & \dots & \hat{f}_{l-1} & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

is a division chain in $\mathbb{Z}$ starting from $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) = (c, d)$, a contradiction.

□

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\tau \in \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{J}_p$ be arbitrary. Then the ring R_τ is not k-stage Euclidean for any positive integer k.*

Proof. Assume the contrary and let N be a norm such that R_τ is k-stage Euclidean with respect to N. To get a contradiction, we construct an infinite sequence $(b_0, b_1, \dots)$ of elements from R_τ with $N(b_i) > N(b_{i+1})$ and $\deg b_{i+1} \geq \deg b_i \geq 1$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

As the first step, put $b_0 = x \in R_\tau$. Now assume we have defined b_i for all $i \leq j \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $b_j > 0$. For b_j we find some a_j using Lemma 3.8. By the k-stage Euclidean property, there is an l-stage division chain $\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_j & q_1 & \dots & q_l \\ b_j & r_1 & \dots & r_l \end{array}\right)$ with $l \leq k$ starting from the pair (a_j, b_j) such that $N(r_l) < N(b_j)$. So we can set $b_{j+1} = r_l$. By Lemma 3.8, we know that $\deg b_{j+1} \geq \deg b_j \geq 1$.

The case $b_j < 0$ is similar. For $-b_j$ find $-a_j$ by Lemma 3.8, take a division chain $\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_j & q_1 & \dots & q_l \\ b_j & r_1 & \dots & r_l \end{array}\right)$ with $N(r_l) < N(b_j)$ and set $b_{j+1} = r_l$. If $\deg r_l < \deg b_j$, we

would have the division chain $\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} -a_j & q_1 & \dots & q_l \\ -b_j & -r_1 & \dots & -r_l \end{array}\right)$ with $\deg -r_l < \deg -b_j$, contradicting the choice of $-a_j$. □

We conclude our paper by the following

Open question. Is there an example of a k-stage Euclidean domain which is not $(k-1)$-stage Euclidean, for $k > 2$?

References

[1] D. D. Anderson, *An existence theorem for non-Euclidean PID's*, Comm. Algebra **16(6)** (1988), 1221–1229.

[2] D. D. Anderson, D. F. Anderson and M. Zafrullah, *Rings between $D[X]$ and $K[X]$*, Houston J. Math. **17** (1991), 109–129.

[3] B. Bougaut, *Algorithme explicite pour la recherche du P.G.C.D. dans certain anneaux principaux d'entiers de corps de nombres*, Theor. Comp. Sci. **11** (1980), 207–220.

[4] P. M. Cohn, *On the structure of the GL_2 of a ring*, Publ. Math. de l'I.H.É.S. **30** (1966), 5–53.

[5] G. E. Cooke, *A weakening of the Euclidean property for integral domains and applications to algebraic number theory. I*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **282** (1976), 133–156.

[6] P. Eakin and W. Heinzer, *More noneuclidean PID's and Dedekind domains with prescribed class group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1973), 66–68.

[7] M. Harper, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{14}]$ *is Euclidean*, Canad. J. Math. **56** (2004), 55–70.

[8] A. Leutbecher, *Euklidisher Algoritmus und die Gruppe GL_2*, Math. Ann. **231** (1978), 269–285.

[9] O. T. O'Meara, *On the finite generation of linear groups over Hasse domains*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **217** (1964), 79–128.

[10] O. Perron, Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen, §37, B. G. Teubner, 1913.

[11] P. Samuel, *About Euclidean rings*, J. Algebra **19** (1971), 282–301.

[12] P. Weinberger, *Euclidean rings of algebraic numbers*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **24** (1973), 321–332.

Petr Glivický: Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Department of Theoretical Computer Science and Mathematical Logic, Malostranské náměstí 12, 118 00 Praha 1, Czech Republic

E-mail address: petrglivicky@gmail.com

Jan Šaroch: Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Department of Algebra, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic

E-mail address: saroch@karlin.mff.cuni.cz